

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

200 JUN 25 A 9:51

2 MARC SPITZER

JIM IRVIN

CHAIRMAN

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

JEFF HATCH-MILLER

MIKE GLEASON

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

MANAGEMENT INITIATIVES.

PROVIDE SUCH RATE OF RETURN.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE

COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY TO CHANGE THE CURRENT PURCHASED POWER AND FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RATE, TO ESTABLISH A NEW PURCHASED POWER AND FUEL ADJUSTMENT

CLAUSE BANK, AND TO REQUEST APPROVED GUIDELINES FOR THE RECOVERY OF COSTS

INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH ENERGY RISK

ARIZONA ELECTRIC DIVISION OF CITIZENS

1

4

6

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUN 2 5 2003



Docket No. E-01032C-00-0751

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, ARIZONA GAS DIVISION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS PROPERTIES FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY AND UNISOURCE ENERGY CORPORATION FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE SALE OF CERTAIN ELECTRIC UTILITY AND GAS UTILITY ASSETS IN ARIZONA, THE TRANSFER OF CERTAIN CERTIFICATES OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FROM CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY TO UNISOURCE ENERGY CORPORATION, THE APPROVAL OF THE FINANCING FOR THE TRANSACTIONS AND OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Docket No. G-01032A-02-0598

Docket Nos. G-01032A-02-0914 E-01933A-02-0914 ** E-01032C-02-0914

RUCO'S EXCEPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDED OPINION AND ORDER

Do Not Reject a Tool to Ease Bill Impact of Settlement Merely Because It Involves DSM

The Settlement Agreement will result in rate increases of almost 21 percent for Citizens' gas customers, and 22 percent for electric customers. Tr. at 537. Though perhaps unavoidable, those increases are substantial. Exh. RUCO-1 at 8; Tr. at 549. Therefore, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") recommended that the Commission require additional investment in demand-side management ("DSM") programs to provide additional tools for customers to mitigate the considerable rate increases of the Settlement Agreement. The Recommended Opinion and Order ("RO&O") rejects RUCO's recommendation for additional DSM investment, concluding "the better means of addressing the issue of DSM is through a generic investigation of the costs and benefits of such measures." RO&O, pg. 24. RUCO urges the Commission not to overlook the benefit of its proposal merely because it involves DSM.

The RO&O refers to "the issue of DSM" when it concludes that consideration of such an issue is best left to another proceeding. However, the issue is not DSM, but mitigation of the impacts of the 'Settlement Agreement's rate increases. RUCO did not propose additional DSM investment for the purpose of furthering many of the traditional goals of DSM, such as decreasing the requirement to build generation and transmission, and alleviating environmental impacts. Instead, RUCO's proposal was motivated to achieve the economic benefits of customers reducing their bills, which would otherwise increase significantly pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. Tr. at 577-578.

The Commission's broader policy examination into DSM will address such issues as the process of competitively acquiring DSM and development of an environmental risk management policy. Decision No. 65743 at 49. These issues go far beyond the rate

Counties. Acquiring DSM through competitive bids is completely irrelevant to Citizens' electric operations, as Citizens has a full requirements contract with Pinnacle West and therefore will not be undertaking competitive bidding for the duration of that contract. Further, the environmental risk management policy will consider the environmental benefits of DSM, which is not the purpose of RUCO's proposal. RUCO is not asking for additional DSM investment to achieve environmental benefits. Any such benefits are merely "icing on the cake." Tr. at 577-578. The purpose of RUCO's proposal is to allow Citizens' customers to alleviate the consequence of the Settlement Agreement on their bills. Such a goal should not be delayed merely because the mechanism involves DSM.

In a sense, it is fortunate that the Settlement Agreement's increase for electric customers is entirely embedded in the commodity rate. Exh. RUCO-1 at 9. As a consequence, any decrease in consumption will decrease a customer's bill by more than the amount of the rate increase per kwh. In fact, a 19 percent reduction in consumption would hold an average residential customer harmless from the entire increase. *Id.* Additional DSM investment can provide customers with the opportunity to mitigate some, or all, of the impact of the electric rate increase. Tr. at 537.

The Commission should not reject RUCO's proposal to create additional resources to ease customers' bill impacts from the Settlement Agreement merely because the proposal involves DSM. The Commission should require Citizens to increase its DSM expenditures so that customers can have additional devices to mitigate the impacts of the Settlement Agreement on their monthly bills. A proposed amendment to the RO&O is attached.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of June, 2003.

Scott S. Wakefield Chief Counsel

()	Docket Control	
3 4 5	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
6 7	COPIES of the foregoing hand delivered/ mailed this 25 th day of June, 2003 to:	
8 9 10	Dwight D. Nodes Assistant Chief Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007	John D. Draghi Susan Mikes Doherty Huber, Lawrence & Abell 605 3 rd Avenue New York, NY 10158
11 12 13	Christopher Kempley Chief Counsel Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007	John White Deputy County Attorney Mohave County Attorney's Office PO Box 7000 Kingman, AZ 86402
14 15 16 17	Ernest Johnson Director Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007	Walter W. Meek AUIA 2100 North Central Avenue Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85004
18 19	L. Russell Mitten Citizens Communications Company 3 High Ridge Park Stamford, CT 06905	Holly J. Hawn Martha S. Chase Santa Cruz Deputy County Attorneys 2150 North Congress Drive, Suite 201 Nogales, AZ 85621
20 21 22	Steven W. Cheifetz Robert J. Metli Cheifetz & Iannitelli, P.C. 3238 North 16 th Street Phoenix, AZ 85016	Marshall Magruder Lucy Magruder PO Box 1267 Tubac, AZ 85646-1267
2324		

1 2	Gary Smith Citizens Communications Company 2901 West Shamrell Blvd. Suite 110 Flagstaff, AZ 86001	Jim Irvin - Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
3	Raymond Mason Director, Corporate Regulatory Affairs	William A. Mundell - Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission
5	3 High Ridge Park Stamford, CT 06905	1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
6	Deborah R. Scott Citizens Communications Company	Jeff Hatch-Miller - Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission
7	2901 North Central Avenue Suite 1660 Phoenix A7 85012	1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
8	Phoenix, AZ 85012	Mike Gleason - Commissioner
9	Thomas H. Campbell Michael T. Hallam	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
10	Lewis & Roca, LLP 40 North Central Avenue	Phoenix, AZ 85007
11	Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ 85004	Paul Walker Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
12	Andrew Bettwy Assistant General Counsel	Phoenix, AZ 85007
13	Southwest Gas Corporation 5241 Spring Mountain Road	Kevin Barlay Arizona Corporation Commission
14	Las Vegas, NV 89150	1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
15	Jose Machado, City Attorney Hugh Holub, Attorney	Hercules Dellas
16	City of Nogales 777 North Grand Avenue	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
17	Nogales, AZ 85621	Phoenix, AZ 85007
18	Robert A. Taylor, City Attorney City of Kingman 310 North 4 th Street	Dean Miller Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
19	Kingman, AZ 86401	Phoenix, AZ 85007
20	Nicholas J. Enoch Lubin & Enoch, P.C.	Jodi Jerich Arizona Corporation Commission
21	349 North 4 th Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85003	1200 West Washington Phoenix/AZ 85007
22	Marc Spitzer – Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission	By Why Kungh Jennifer Rumph
23	1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007	(Octambia
		•

RUCO'S PROPOSED AMENDMENT

Page 24

INSERT at line 8, after "II).":

"The Settlement Agreement's increase for electric customers is entirely embedded in the commodity rate. Therefore, any decrease in consumption will decrease a customer's bill by more than the amount of the rate increase per kwh. In fact, a 19 percent reduction in consumption would hold an average residential customer harmless from the entire increase. (RUCO Exh. 1, at 9)."

DELETE lines 16-24

INSERT after "Stipulation.":

witness' testimony."

INSERT "While RUCO's DSM proposal could result in upward pressure on customer *rates*, cost-effective DSM programs can reduce customer *bills*. Our forthcoming workshop to develop a DSM acquisition process and an environmental risk management policy will be addressing issues that go beyond the bill impacts that are of great concern to the customers subject to the Settlement Agreement. Therefore, because RUCO's proposal provides an additional tool for customers to mitigate the impact of the Settlement on their bills, we will require its adoption."

Page 28, line 24

"In addition, we adopt RUCO's recommendation for increased DSM funding."

INSERT "IT IS FUTHER ORDERED that ElecCo shall increase funding for demand side management programs as outlined in Appendix II to RUCO's

Page 31, line 22